



Palynology Research Laboratory  
Department of Anthropology  
Texas A&M University  
College Station, TX 77843-4352  
(979) 845-5242 FAX (979) 845-4070

June 30, 2019

Sean Collinsworth  
828 Evens Ridge Rd.  
Lake Toxaway, NC 28747-0047

Dear Sean,

I have completed the pollen study of the recent honey sample you submitted for analysis. Specific details about the extraction and analysis procedures I used for these samples are identical to the ones I used on your previous samples.

### ANALYSIS

#### Sample 1 and 2 (KBH & Skyterra Wellness)

Both of these samples are good examples of Wildflower Honey because neither one is dominated by any single pollen or nectar type. As noted in Table 1 below, some of the main contributors to both samples include holly, tupelo, tulip tree, and magnolia. There are other minor pollen and nectar contributors as well as noted below.

In **Sample #1**, because tupelo, magnolia and tulip tree are underrepresented, the **true probable** nectar percentage in this sample is 5% tulip tree, 16% magnolia, 16% tupelo, and all the rest make up the other 63% of the nectar.

In **Sample # 2** there are many of the same pollen and nectar types found in Sample #1. Like the previous sample, we can use pollen coefficient values to correct for underrepresented types. Thus in **Sample # 2**, the **true probably** nectar percentages are tulip tree 13%, magnolia 32%, tupelo 35%, and all the rest make up the remaining 20%.

Both Sample #1 and Sample #2 have pollen concentration values that are within the expected range for typical wildflower honey.

#### Sample 3 (Panthertown)

The Panthertown honey sample is a good example of a Unifloral Clover Honey because the sample is dominated by clover pollen in a percentage of 55.5%. The percentages of pollen in Sample # 3 are fairly close to the actual amount of nectar from each source. There are no under or overrepresented pollen types noted in this sample.

The pollen concentration value for Sample 3 is at the low end for typical clover honey that often ranges from about 20,000 up to around 100,000 depending on the clover type that is dominant in the sample.

**Relative Pollen Counts of the 2019 Honey Samples**  
**Table 1**

**Collinsworth Honey 2017**

Pollen Taxa	KBH	%	Skyterra	%	Panthertown	%
<i>Acer</i> (maple)	0	0.0%	4	1.9%	0	0.0%
AMARANTHACEAE (amaranth & goosefoot)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.9%
ASTERACEAE (dandelion-type)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
ASTERACEAE (sunflower-type)	0	0.0%	5	2.3%	3	1.3%
<i>Betula</i> (birch)	3	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BRASSICACEAE (mustard family)	12	6.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Carya</i> (pecan, hickory)	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%
<i>Cephalanthus</i> (buttonbush)	3	1.5%	0	0.0%	45	19.7%
<i>Chenopodium</i> (goosefoot)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Cornus</i> (dogwood)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CYPERACEAE (sedge)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Diospyros</i> (persimmon)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%
<i>Elaeagnus</i> (autumn olive)	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Gleditsia</i> (honey locust)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LAMIACEAE (cf. <i>Salvia</i> sage)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%
<i>Ilex</i> (holly, yaupon)	38	18.9%	11	5.2%	0	0.0%
<i>Impatiens</i> (touch-me-not)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Liriodendron</i> (tulip tree)	5	2.5%	21	9.9%	0	0.0%
<i>Lonicera</i> (honeysuckle)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Magnolia</i> (magnolia)	15	7.5%	54	25.4%	0	0.0%
<i>Melilotus</i> (clover)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Mimosa</i> (various species)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Nyssa</i> (tupelo)	15	7.5%	58	27.2%	0	0.0%
ONAGRACEAE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Oxydendrum arboreum</i> (sourwood)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Parthenocissus</i> (Virginia creeper)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Phacelia</i> (phacelia)	53	26.4%	2	0.9%	0	0.0%
<i>Plantago</i> (plantain)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%
POACEAE (grass family)	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	1	0.4%
<i>Prunus</i> (plum, peach, cherry)	0	0.0%	2	0.9%	0	0.0%
<i>Quercus</i> (oak)	10	5.0%	16	7.5%	0	0.0%
RANUNCULACEAE (buttercups)	0	0.0%	8	3.8%	0	0.0%

RHAMNACEAE (buckthorn)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27	11.8%
<i>Rhododendron/Kalmia</i> (laurel)	0	0.0%	4	1.9%	0	0.0%
<i>Rhus /Toxicodendron</i> (sumac, poison ivy)	5	2.5%	6	2.8%	12	5.2%
<i>Robinia</i> (locust)	3	1.5%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%
ROSACEAE (rose family)	10	5.0%	21	9.9%	5	2.2%
<i>Rubus</i> (blackberry, dewberry)	20	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Salix</i> (willow)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Tilia</i> (basswood)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Trifolium/Melilotus</i> (clover)	5	2.5%	0	0.0%	127	55.5%
<i>Viburnum</i> (arrow-wood)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	1.3%
<i>Vitis</i> (grape)	3	1.5%	0	0.0%	3	1.3%
<i>Zanthoxylum</i> (prickly ash)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>Zea mays</i> (maize)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<i>All other nectar sources combined</i>						
Unknown pollen	0	0.0%	2	0.9%	0	0.0%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Lycopodium spores counted</b>	<b>47</b>		<b>66</b>		<b>148</b>	
<b>Pollen concentration per 10 grams of honey</b>		<b>82,675</b>		<b>62,389</b>		<b>29,912</b>

#### Honey Pollen Categories

- A= >45% predominant pollen type
- B= 16-45% secondary pollen type
- C= 3-15% important minor pollen type
- D= <3% minor pollen type

#### Honey Pollen Concentration Categories

- Category I 0-20,000/10 g
- Category II 20,000-100,000/10 g
- Category III 100,000-500,000/10 g
- Category IV 500,000-1,000,000/10 g
- Category V over 1,000,000/10 g

Should you desire additional clarification of this report please let me know. If we can assist you in the future, please let us know. We did receive your check, thank you.

Sincerely,

Vaughn M. Bryant, Jr.  
Regents Professor and Director